## Case 2:11-cv-01575-LRH-GWF Document 15 Filed 12/20/11 Page 2 of 2

Where, as here, it is not facially evident from the face of the complaint that the amount in
controversy exceeds \$75,000, "the removing defendant bears the burden of establishing, by a
preponderance of the evidence, that the amount in controversy exceeds \$[75],000." Sanchez v.
Monumental Life Ins. Co., 102 F.3d 398, 404 (9th Cir. 1996). Here, defendant Watkins argues that
the amount in controversy has been met because the underlying medical bills incurred by plaintiff,
which are sought as damages in this action, are over \$200,000.00. A plaintiff's statement of
damages after the filing of the complaint is relevant evidence establishing the amount in
controversy. See Cohen v. Petsmart, Inc., 281 F.3d 837, 840 (9th Cir. 2002). Therefore, the court
finds that Watkins has proffered sufficient evidence establishing an amount in controversy greater
than \$75,000. Accordingly, the court shall accept Watkin's removal of this action and exercise
diversity jurisdiction over the complaint.
IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that defendant's statement concerning removal (Doc. #14)
is GRANTED.
IT IS SO ORDERED.
DATED this 20th day of December, 2011.
LARRY R. HICKS
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE